arXiv:1702.05029v1 [math.AG] 16 Feb 2017

Smoothness of moduli space of stable torsion-free sheaves with fixed determinant in mixed characteristic

Inder Kaur

February 17, 2017

Abstract

Let R be a complete discrete valuation ring with fraction field of characteristic 0 and algebraically closed residue field of characteristic $p > 0$. Let $X_R \to \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ be a smooth projective morphism of relative dimension 1. We prove that, given a line bundle $\mathcal{L}_R$ the moduli space of Gieseker stable torsion-free sheaves of rank $r \geq 2$ over X_R, with determinant $\mathcal{L}_R$, is smooth over R.

1 Introduction

Notation 1.1. Let R be a complete discrete valuation ring with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$. Denote by K its fraction field of characteristic 0 and by k its residue field of characteristic $p > 0$. Assume k is algebraically closed. Let $X_R \to \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ be a smooth fibred surface and X_k its special fibre. Fix a line bundle $\mathcal{L}_R$ on X_R. Let P be a fixed Hilbert polynomial. Throughout this note, semistability always refers to Gieseker semistability (see [6, Definition 1.2.4]).

In [8, Theorem 0.2], Langer proves that the moduli functor of semi(stable)torsion-free sheaves with fixed Hilbert polynomial P on X_R is uniformly (universally) corepresented by an R-scheme $M_{X_R}(P)$ (respectively $M^s_{X_R}(P)$). Recall the definition of the moduli functor of flat families of (semi)stable torsion free sheaves with fixed Hilbert poynomial P and determinant $\mathcal{L}_R$ on X_R (see Definition 2.2). We denote this functor by $\mathcal{M}^s_{X_R,\mathcal{L}_R}$. In this note we prove the following:

Theorem 1.2 (see Proposition 2.3, Remark 2.4 and Theorem 4.5)**.** *We have the following:*

1. *The moduli functor $\mathcal{M}_{X_R,\mathcal{L}_R}$ is uniformly corepresented by a projective R-scheme of finite type denoted $M_{R,\mathcal{L}_R}$. The open subfunctor $\mathcal{M}^s_{X_R,\mathcal{L}_R}$ for stable sheaves is universally corepresented by a R-scheme of finite type, denoted $M^s_{R,\mathcal{L}_R}$.*
2. *The morphism $M^s_{R,\mathcal{L}_R} \to \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ is smooth.*

Part 1 is proven analogously to [2, Theorem 3.1]. For part 2, we prove that the deformation functor at a point in the moduli space $M^s_{R,\mathcal{L}_R}$ is unobstructed (see Theorem 3.19).

Note that Theorem 1.2 is proven by Langer in the case when R is a k-algebra (see [7, Proposition 3.4]). However, the proof does not generalize to our setup. This is because it relies on [1, Proposition 1], the proof of which does not hold in mixed characteristic. The main difficulty is that even in the case of vector bundles it uses the structure of R as a k-algebra in a fundamental way (see [1, Section 3]). We use the same philosophy as [1, Proposition 1] (of using Cech cohomology) but take a more direct approach since we are working on a family of curves.

The setup is as follows: in §2 we recall the basic definitions and results needed for this note. We also prove the existence of the moduli space of stable torsion free sheaves with fixed determinant over $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$. In §3 we show that the deformation functor at a point in the moduli space $M^s_{R,\mathcal{L}_R}$ is unobstructed. Finally in §4 we prove that this moduli space is smooth over $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$.

Acknowledgements: The author thanks Prof. A. Langer for a discussion during the conference 'Topics in characteristic $p > 0$ and p-adic Geometry '. The author is grateful to the Berlin Mathematical School for financial support.

2 Basic Definitions and results

Keep Notations 1.1

In this section we define the moduli functor of (semi)stable sheaves with fixed determinant. We prove that it is uniformly corepresented by an R-scheme of finite type.

Definition 2.1. Let $X_R \to \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ be as in Notation 1.1.

1. Let $\mathcal{M}_{X_R/\,\mathrm{Spec}(R)}(P)$ (as in [2, Theorem 3.1]) of pure Gieseker semistable sheaves. For simplicity we will denote this functor by $\mathcal{M}_R$ and the corresponding moduli space by M_R. Denote by $\mathcal{P}ic_{X_R}$ the moduli functor for line bundles. By assumption $X_R \to \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ is flat, projective with integral fibres, therefore by [3, Theorem 9.4.8] the functor $\mathcal{P}ic_{X_R}$ is representable. We denote this moduli space by $\mathrm{Pic}(X_R)$.

2. By assumption X_R is smooth over R. By [6, Theorem 2.1.10], every coherent sheaf $\mathcal{E}$ on X_R admits a locally free resolution

$$0 \to \mathcal{E}_n \to \mathcal{E}_{n-1} \to \cdots \to \mathcal{E}_0 \to \mathcal{E} \to 0.$$

   Then $\det(\mathcal{E}) := \otimes \det(\mathcal{E}_i)^{(-1)^i}$.

   Therefore we can define a natural transformation $\det : \mathcal{M}_R \to \mathcal{P}ic_{X_R}$. This induces a morphism between the schemes corepresenting these functors $M_R \to \mathrm{Pic}(X_R)$.

Now we define the moduli functor for families of pure Gieseker semistable sheaves with fixed determinant.

Definition 2.2. Let $X_R \to \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ be a smooth, projective morphism and $\mathcal{L}_R$ a line bundle on X_R. For P a fixed Hilbert polynomial, we define the moduli functor $\mathcal{M}_{X_R,\mathcal{L}_R}(P)$, denoted $\mathcal{M}_{R,\mathcal{L}_R}$ for simplicity, on X_R of sheaves with fixed determinant $\mathcal{L}_R$. Let $\mathcal{M}_{X_R,\mathcal{L}_R} : (\mathrm{Sch}/R)^\circ \to (\mathrm{Sets})$ be such that for an R-scheme T,

$$\mathcal{M}_{X_R,\mathcal{L}_R}(T) := \left\{ \begin{array}{l} S\text{- equivalence classes of families of pure Gieseker} \\ \text{semistable sheaves } \mathcal{F} \text{ on } X_T \text{ with the property that} \\ \det(\mathcal{F}) \simeq \pi_{X_R}^* \mathcal{L}_R \otimes \pi_T^* \mathcal{Q}, \text{ for some line bundle } \mathcal{Q} \text{ on } T \end{array} \right\} / \sim$$

where $\pi_{X_R} : X_T \to X_R$ and $\pi_T : X_T \to T$ are the natural projection maps and $\mathcal{F} \sim \mathcal{F}'$, if and only if there exists a line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on T, such that $\mathcal{F} \simeq \mathcal{F}' \otimes \pi_T^* \mathcal{L}$.

We denote by $\mathcal{M}^s_{X_R,\mathcal{L}_R}$ the subfunctor for the stable sheaves.

We note that the moduli space $M^s_{R,\mathcal{L}_R}$ is a projective R-scheme.

Proposition 2.3. *The functor $\mathcal{M}^s_{R,\mathcal{L}_R}$ is universally corepresented by a R-scheme of finite type. We denote this scheme by $M^s_{R,\mathcal{L}_R}$.*

Proof. We know from the proof of [2, Theorem 3.1], there exists a subset of the *Quot* scheme denoted $\mathcal{R}^s$, such that M^s_R is a universal categorical quotient of this subset by the action of a certain general linear group. Let $\alpha : \mathcal{R}^s \to M^s_R$ denote this quotient.

The natural transformation $\mathcal{M}^s_R \to \mathcal{P}ic_{X_R}$ which induces the determinant morphism $\det : M^s_R \to \mathrm{Pic}(X_R)$. By composing the morphism det with α we obtain, a morphism $\det_{\mathcal{R}^s} : \mathcal{R}^s \to M^s_R \to \mathrm{Pic}(X_R)$.

Let $\mathcal{R}^s_{\mathcal{L}_R} := \det^{-1}_{\mathcal{R}^s}(\mathcal{L}_R)$ denote the fibre of the map $\det_{\mathcal{R}^s}$ at the point corresponding to $\mathcal{L}_R$ and let $N_{R,\mathcal{L}_R} := \det^{-1}(\mathcal{L}_R)$. Let $M^s_{R,\mathcal{L}_R}$ be a universal categorical quotient of $\mathcal{R}^s_{\mathcal{L}_R}$ by $\mathrm{GL}(V)$. By definition of categorical quotient, there exists a unique morphism from $\phi^s_{\mathcal{L}_R} : M^s_{R,\mathcal{L}_R} \to N_{R,\mathcal{L}_R}$. Since the quotients $\mathcal{R}^s \to M^s_R$ and $\mathcal{R}^s_{\mathcal{L}_R} \to M^s_{R,\mathcal{L}_R}$ are $\mathrm{PGL}(V)$-bundles in the fppf topology (see [9, Lemma 6.3]), it implies $\phi^s_{\mathcal{L}_R}$ is an isomorphism. Therefore, we have the following diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M^s_{R,\mathcal{L}_R} \simeq N_{R,\mathcal{L}_R} & \longrightarrow & M^s_R \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \det \\ \mathrm{Spec}(R) & \xrightarrow{[\mathcal{L}_R]} & \mathrm{Pic}(X_R) \end{array}$$

Finally by [6, Theorem 4.3.1] we conclude that the functor $\mathcal{M}^s_{R,\mathcal{L}_R}$ is universally corepresented by the R-scheme $M^s_{R,\mathcal{L}_R}$. ∎

Remark 2.4. Note that the functor $\mathcal{M}_{R,\mathcal{L}_R}$ is corepresented by a projective R-scheme, denoted $M_{R,\mathcal{L}_R}$ of finite type. Recall the proof of [2, Theorem 3.1]. Since X_R is smooth, using [6, Theorem 2.1.10], we can define a morphism $\det' : \mathrm{Quot}_{X_R}(\mathcal{H}, P) \to \mathrm{Pic}(X_R)$ mapping a coherent sheaf on X_R to its determinant bundle. Denote by A the (scheme-theoretic) intersection of $\det'^{-1}(\mathcal{L}_R)$ and Q, where Q as in the proof of [2, Theorem 3.1]. Then the statement follows after replacing Q by A in the proof of [2, Theorem 3.1].

3 Deformation of moduli spaces with fixed determinant

Keep Notations 1.1. We have seen in the proof of Proposition 2.3 how $M^s_{R,\mathcal{L}_R}$ can be considered as the fiber of the determinant morphism $\det : M^s_R \to \mathrm{Pic}(X_R)$ over the point corresponding to $\mathcal{L}_R$. Using the trace map (see Definition 3.13), we relate the obstruction theory of the deformation functor at a point in the moduli space M^s_R to the obstruction theory of the deformation functor at a point in the moduli space $\mathrm{Pic}(X_R)$. We use this (see Theorem 3.19) to show that the deformation functor at a point in the moduli space $M^s_{R,\mathcal{L}_R}$ is unobstructed.

We begin by recalling some basic definitions.

Notation 3.1. We denote by Art/R the category of local artinian R-algebras with residue field k. Denote by $X_k := X_R \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(R)} \mathrm{Spec}(k)$ and $X_A := X_R \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(R)} \mathrm{Spec}(A)$. Let $[\mathcal{F}_k]$ denote a closed point of M^s_R. As $M^s_R \to \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ is a morphism of finite type, the closed points of the moduli space M^s_R are k-points. Since k is algebraically closed, by [2, Theorem 3.1] we have a bijection

$$\theta(k) : \mathcal{M}_R(k) \to \mathrm{Hom}_R(k, M_R).$$

Therefore to a closed point of M^s_R say $[\mathcal{F}_k]$, we can associate a Gieseker stable sheaf $\mathcal{F}_k$ on the curve X_k. Since the curve X_k is smooth, the torsion-free sheaf is in fact locally free.

We define a covariant functor at the point $[\mathcal{F}_k]$ in M^s_R.

Definition 3.2. We define the deformation functor $\mathcal{D}_{[\mathcal{F}_k]} : \mathrm{Art}/R \to (\mathrm{Sets})$, such that for $A \in \mathrm{Art}/R$

$$\mathcal{D}_{[F_k]}(A) := \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{coherent sheaves } \mathcal{F}_A \text{ with Hilbert polynomial } P \\ \text{on } X_A \text{ flat over} A \text{ such that its pull-back to } X_k \\ \text{is isomorphic to } \mathcal{F}_k. \end{array} \right\}$$

Similarly, we define a covariant functor at the point $[\det(\mathcal{F}_k)]$ of the moduli space $\mathrm{Pic}(X_R)$.

Definition 3.3. Let $\mathcal{D}_{[\det(F_k)]} : \mathrm{Art}/R \to (\mathrm{Sets})$ be a covariant functor such that for $A \in \mathrm{Art}/R$

$$\mathcal{D}_{[\det(F_k)]}(A) := \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{coherent sheaves } \mathcal{F}_A \text{ with Hilbert polynomial the} \\ \text{same as } \det(\mathcal{F}_k) \text{ on } X_A \text{ flat over} A \text{ such that its} \\ \text{pull-back to } X_k \text{ is isomorphic to } \det(\mathcal{F}_k). \end{array} \right\}$$

The following theorem gives the obstruction theories of $\mathcal{D}_{[F_k]}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{[\det(F_k)]}$. Using this we prove the following corollary.

Remark 3.4. By [5, Theorem 7.3]] the functors $\mathcal{D}_{[\mathcal{F}_k]}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{[\det(F_k)]}$ have obstruction theories in the groups $H^2(\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_{X_k}(\mathcal{F}_k, \mathcal{F}_k) \otimes_k I)$ and $H^2(\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_{X_k}(\det(\mathcal{F}_k), \det(\mathcal{F}_k)) \otimes_k I)$ respectively. For X_k a curve, by Grothendieck vanishing theorem, $H^2(\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_{X_k}(\mathcal{F}_k, \mathcal{F}_k) \otimes_k I)$ and $H^2(\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_{X_k}(\det(\mathcal{F}_k), \det(\mathcal{F}_k)) \otimes_k I)$ vanish. Therefore, $\mathcal{D}_{[\mathcal{F}_k]}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{[\det(F_k)]}$ are unobstructed.

Now we define a natural transformation between the two deformation functors.

Definition 3.5. By assumption $\mathcal{F}_k$ is a locally-free $\mathcal{O}_{X_R}$ module. Moreover, by [5, Exercise 7.1] any coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}_A$ on X_A satisfying the property $\mathcal{F}_A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X_A}} \mathcal{O}_{X_k} \simeq \mathcal{F}_k$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{X_A}$-module. Therefore, the notion of determinant is well-defined for any coherent sheaf on X_A which pulls back to $\mathcal{F}_k$.

We define a natural transformation $\mathcal{D}et : \mathcal{D}_{[\mathcal{F}_k]} \to \mathcal{D}_{[\det(F_k)]}$ such that for $A \in \mathrm{Art}/R$,

$$\mathcal{D}et_A : \mathcal{D}_{[F_k]}(A) \to \mathcal{D}_{[\det(F_k)]}(A), \quad \mathcal{E}_A \mapsto \det(\mathcal{E}_A).$$

Using this we define a deformation functor at a point in the moduli space $M^s_{R,\mathcal{L}_R}$.

Definition 3.6. Let $\mathcal{L}_R$ be as in Notation 1.1. For A a R-algebra, denote by $\mathcal{L}_A$ the pullback $p_A^*\mathcal{L}_R$ under the natural morphism $p_A : X_A \to X_R$.

We define a functor $\mathcal{D}_{[\mathcal{F}_k],[\det\mathcal{F}_k]} : \mathrm{Art}/R \to (\mathrm{Sets})$, such that for $A \in \mathrm{Art}/R$.

$$\mathcal{D}_{[\mathcal{F}_k],[\det\mathcal{F}_k]}(A) := \mathcal{D}et_A^{-1}(\mathcal{L}_A).$$

3.7. *Group action on the torsors*: By [5, Theorem 7.3], the set $\mathcal{D}_{[F_k]}(A')$ (respectively $\mathcal{D}_{[\det(F_k)]}(A')$) is a torsor under the action of $H^1(\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_{X_k}(\mathcal{F}_k, \mathcal{F}_k) \otimes_k I)$ (respectively $H^1(\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_{X_k}(\det(\mathcal{F}_k), \det(\mathcal{F}_k)) \otimes_k I)$).

Since X_k is noetherian, we can identify the sheaf cohomology $H^1(X_k, \mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(\mathcal{F}_k, \mathcal{F}_k) \otimes_k I)$ with the Cech cohomology $\check{H}^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(\mathcal{F}_k, \mathcal{F}_k) \otimes_k I)$, where $\mathcal{U}$ is an affine open covering of X_k. Then an element, say ξ of the cohomology group $H^1(\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(\mathcal{F}_k, \mathcal{F}_k) \otimes_k I)$ can be seen as a collection of elements $\{\phi'_{ij}\} \in \Gamma(U_i \cap U_j, \mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(\mathcal{F}'_k, \mathcal{F}'_k))$ satisfying the cocycle condition i.e. for any i, j, k, we have $\phi'_{ik}|_{U_{ijk}} = \phi'_{jk}|_{U_{ijk}} + \phi'_{ij}|_{U_{ijk}}$. Since I is a k-vector space, $\check{H}^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(\mathcal{F}_k, \mathcal{F}_k) \otimes_k I) \simeq \check{H}^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(\mathcal{F}_k, \mathcal{F}_k)) \otimes_k I$. Therefore, $\{\phi'_{ij}\}_{i,j}$

is of the form $\{\phi''_{ij}\otimes a\}_{i,j}$ for $a \in I$ not depending on i, j and $\phi''_{ij} \in \Gamma(U_i\cap U_j, \mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(\mathcal{F}_k, \mathcal{F}_k))$ satisfying $\phi''_{ik}|_{U_{ijk}} = \phi''_{jk}|_{U_{ijk}} + \phi''_{ij}|_{U_{ijk}}$.

Let $\mathcal{F}_{A'}$ be an extension of $\mathcal{F}_A$ on $X_{A'}$ i.e an element of $\mathcal{D}_{[\mathcal{F}_k]}(A')$. Since it is locally free, there exists a covering $\mathcal{U}' = \{U'_i\}$ of $X_{A'}$ by such that $\mathcal{F}_{A'}|_{U'_i}$ is $\mathcal{O}_{U'_i}$-free. Denote by $\mathcal{U} := \{U_i\}$ the cover of X_k where $U_i := U'_i \cap X_k$. We know from the proof of [5, Theorem 7.3] that $\mathcal{F}_{A'}(\xi)$ is given by a collection of sheaves $\mathcal{F}'_i := \mathcal{F}_{A'}|_{U'_i}$ and isomorphisms $\phi_{ij} : \mathcal{F}'_i|_{U_i\cap U_j} \to \mathcal{F}'_j|_{U_i\cap U_j}$ such that

$$\phi_{ii} = \mathrm{Id},\ \phi_{ij} : \mathcal{F}'_i|_{U'_i\cap U'_j} = \mathcal{F}_{A'}|_{U'_i\cap U'_j} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Id}+((\phi''_{ij}\otimes a)\circ\pi)} \mathcal{F}_{A'}|_{U'_i\cap U'_j} = \mathcal{F}'_j|_{U'_i\cap U'_j}$$

where ϕ''_{ij}, a are as above and π is the natural restriction morphism $\mathcal{F}_{A'} \to \mathcal{F}_k$. Then by [4, Ex. II.1.22], $\mathcal{F}_{A'}(\xi)$ glues to a sheaf if the morphisms $\{\phi_{ij}\}$ satisfy the cocycle condition. In the following lemma we prove that this is indeed the case.

Lemma 3.8. *Let $\mathcal{F}'_i$ and ϕ_{ij} be as above. The morphisms $\{\phi_{ij}\}$ satisfy the cocycle condition i.e. for any i, j, k $\phi_{ik} = \phi_{jk} \circ \phi_{ij}$.*

Proof. It suffices to prove this equality for the basis elements, say $s^i_1, \ldots, s^i_r$ generating $\mathcal{F}'_i|_{U'_i\cap U'_j\cap U'_k}$. For any basis element s^i_t,

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_{jk} \circ \phi_{ij}(s^i_t) &= \phi_{jk}(\mathrm{Id} + (a \otimes \phi''_{ij}))(\pi(s^i_t)) \\
&= \phi_{jk}(\pi(s^i_t) + a\phi''_{ij}(\pi(s^i_t))) = (\mathrm{Id} + a \otimes \phi''_{jk})(\pi(s^i_t)) + a\phi''_{ij}(\pi(s^i_t)) \\
&= \pi(s^i_t) + a\phi''_{ij}(\pi(s^i_t)) + a\phi''_{jk}(\pi(s^i_t)) + 0
\end{aligned}$$

because $a^2 = 0$ in A'. Since $\phi''_{ik} = \phi''_{ij} + \phi''_{jk}$, we have

$$\phi_{jk} \circ \phi_{ij}(s^i_t) = \pi(s^i_t) + a(\phi''_{ik}(\pi(s^i_t)) = \phi_{ik}(s^i_t).$$

This shows that $\{\phi_{ij}\}_{i,j}$ satisfy the cocycle condition. □

Using this we conclude that $\mathcal{F}_{A'}(\xi)$, obtained by glueing the sheaves $\mathcal{F}'_i$ along the isomorphism ϕ_{ij} is a sheaf.

Similarly, an element say ξ' in $H^1(\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_{X_k}(\det(\mathcal{F}_k), \det(\mathcal{F}_k)) \otimes_k I)$ acts on an element in $\mathcal{D}_{[\det(\mathcal{F}_k)]}(A')$, say $\det(\mathcal{F}_{A'})$ to produce a line bundle $\det(\mathcal{F}_{A'})(\xi')$ given by a family of sheaves $\{\mathcal{L}_i := \mathcal{L}_{A'}|_{U_i}\}$ and isomorphisms

$$\phi_{ij} : \mathcal{L}_i|_{U'_{ij}} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Id}+((\phi''_{ij}\otimes a)\circ\pi)} \mathcal{L}_j|_{U'_{ij}}$$

where $\phi''_{ij} \in \Gamma(U_i \cap U_j, \mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(\det(\mathcal{F}_k), \det(\mathcal{F}_k)) \otimes_k I)$ is the collection of sections corresponding to ξ' given by the ismomorphism $H^1(\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_{X_k}(\det(\mathcal{F}_k), \det(\mathcal{F}_k)) \otimes_k I) \simeq \check{H}^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(\det(\mathcal{F}_k), \det(\mathcal{F}_k))) \otimes_k I$. Again by Lemma 3.8, $\det(\mathcal{F}_{A'})(\xi')$ is a sheaf.

Definition 3.9. We have the following definitions.

1. We define a map
$$\phi_1 : H^1(\mathcal{H}om_{X_k}(\mathcal{F}_k, \mathcal{F}_k) \otimes_k I) \to \mathcal{D}_{[\mathcal{F}_k]}(A'), \quad \xi \mapsto \mathcal{F}_{A'}(\xi)$$
which uniquely associates an extension $\mathcal{F}_{A'}(\xi)$ of $\mathcal{F}_{A'}$ (using Lemma 3.8) to an element ξ of $H^1(\mathcal{H}om_{X_k}(\mathcal{F}_k, \mathcal{F}_k) \otimes_k I)$.

2. Replacing $\mathcal{F}_{A'}$ by $\det(\mathcal{F}_{A'})$ and starting with $\det(\mathcal{F}_{A'})$ we associate an extension say $(\det(\mathcal{F}'_A))(\xi')$ to an element ξ' of $H^1(\mathcal{H}om_{X_k}(\det(\mathcal{F}_k), \det(\mathcal{F}_k)) \otimes_k I)$. Hence we define a map
$$\phi_2 : H^1(\mathcal{H}om_{X_k}(\det(\mathcal{F}_k), \det(\mathcal{F}_k)) \otimes_k I) \to \mathcal{D}_{\det(\mathcal{F}_k)}(A'), \quad \xi' \mapsto \det(\mathcal{F}'_A)(\xi')$$

Remark 3.10. Note that by Corollary 3.4, there exist surjective morphisms $r_1 : \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F}_k}(A') \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F}_k}(A)$ and $r_2 : \mathcal{D}_{\det(\mathcal{F}_k)}(A') \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\det(\mathcal{F}_k)}(A)$. By [5, Theorem 7.3], $r_1^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_A) = \mathrm{Im}(\phi_1)$, $r_2^{-1}(\det(\mathcal{F}_A)) = \mathrm{Im}(\phi_2)$.

The following lemma tells us that taking the determinant commutes with glueing of the sheaf.

Lemma 3.11. *The determinant of the sheaf $\mathcal{F}_{A'}(\xi)$ is the line bundle obtained by glueing $\{\det(\mathcal{F}'_i)\}$ along the isomorphisms*
$$\overline{\phi}_{ij} : \det(\mathcal{F}'_i)|_{U_i \cap U_j} \to \det(\mathcal{F}'_j)|_{U_i \cap U_j}, \ s_1^{(i)} \wedge ... \wedge s_r^{(i)} \mapsto \phi_{ij}(s_1^i) \wedge ... \wedge \phi_{ij}(s_r^i)$$
where $s_1^i, ..., s_r^i$ are the basis elements of $\mathcal{F}'_i|_{U_i \cap U_j}$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.8 for all $t = 1, \ldots, r$, we have $\phi_{ik}(s_t^i) = \phi_{jk}(s_t^i) \circ \phi_{ij}(s_t^i)$. Then,
$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{\phi}_{jk} \circ \overline{\phi}_{ij}(s_1^i \wedge \cdots \wedge s_r^i) &= \overline{\phi}_{jk}(\phi_{ij}(s_1^i) \wedge \cdots \wedge \phi_{ij}(s_r^i)) \\
&= (\phi_{jk} \circ \phi_{ij}(s_1^i)) \wedge \cdots \wedge (\phi_{jk} \circ \phi_{ij}(s_r^i)) \\
&= \phi_{ik}(s_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge \phi_{ik}(s_r^i) \\
&= \overline{\phi}_{ik}(s_1^i \wedge \cdots \wedge s_r^i)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence the morphisms $\{\overline{\phi}_{ij}\}$ satisfy the cocycle condition i.e $\overline{\phi}_{ik} = \overline{\phi}_{jk} \circ \overline{\phi}_{jk}$.

By Lemma 3.8, there exist isomorphisms $\psi_i : \mathcal{F}_{A'}(\xi)|_{U'_i} \simeq \mathcal{F}'_i$ satisfying $\psi_j|_{U_{ij}} = \phi_{ij} \circ \psi_i|_{U_{ij}}$. We define $\overline{\psi}_i : \det(\mathcal{F}_{A'}(\xi))|_{U'_i} \simeq \det(\mathcal{F}'_i)$ as follows. Let $s_1^i, \ldots, s_r^i$ be the basis of $\mathcal{F}_{A'}(\xi)|_{U_i}$. Then $\overline{\psi}_i(s_1^i \wedge \cdots \wedge s_r^i) := \psi_i(s_1^i) \wedge \cdots \wedge \psi_i(s_r^i)$. Therefore
$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{\phi}_{ij} \circ \overline{\psi}_i(s_1^i \wedge \cdots \wedge s_r^i) &= \overline{\phi}_{ij}(\psi_i(s_1^i) \wedge \cdots \wedge \psi_i(s_r^i)) \\
&= \phi_{ij}(\psi_i(s_1^i)) \wedge \cdots \wedge \phi_{ij}(\psi_i(s_r^i)) \\
&= \psi_j(s_1^i) \wedge \cdots \wedge \psi_j(s_r^i) \\
&= \overline{\psi}_j(s_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge s_r^i)
\end{aligned}$$

Then by the uniqueness of glueing mentioned in [4, Ex. II.1.22], $\{\det(\mathcal{F}_i)\}$ glues along the isomorphisms $\{\overline{\phi}_{ij}\}_{i,j}$ to $\det(\mathcal{F}_{A'})(\xi)$. □

3.12. We relate the obstruction theory of $\mathcal{D}_{[F_k]}$ to that of $\mathcal{D}_{[\det(F_k)]}$ by relating the action of the group $H^1(\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_{X_k}(\mathcal{F}_k,\mathcal{F}_k)\otimes_k I)$ on the vector bundle to the action of the group $H^1(\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_{X_k}(\det(\mathcal{F}_k),\det(\mathcal{F}_k))\otimes_k I)$ on the determinant of the vector bundle. This relation is given by the trace map which we recall here.

Definition 3.13. Let U be an affine open set on which $\mathcal{F}_k$ is free, generated by sections say $s_1,...,s_r$ (for $r=\mathrm{rk}(F_k)$). Recall the map,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{tr}_U : \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}_k,\mathcal{F}_k)(U) \to \mathcal{H}om(\det(\mathcal{F}_k),\det(\mathcal{F}_k))(U), \qquad (*)\\ \phi \mapsto \mathrm{tr}_U(\phi) := (s_1\wedge ...\wedge s_r \mapsto \sum_j s_1\wedge ..\wedge \phi(s_j)\wedge ...\wedge s_r).\end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathcal{U}:=\{U_i\}$ be a small enough open cover of X_k such that $\mathcal{F}_k$ is free on each U_i. Then the *trace map* is given by

$$\mathrm{tr} : \mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_{X_k}(\mathcal{F}_k,\mathcal{F}_k) \to \mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_{X_k}(\det(\mathcal{F}_k),\det(\mathcal{F}_k))$$

such that $\mathrm{tr}|_{U_i}=\mathrm{tr}_{U_i}$ for any affine open set U_i of X_k.

Remark 3.14. Note that the morphism tr_U is $\mathcal{O}_{X_k}$ linear. Let $f\in\mathcal{O}_{X_k}(U)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{tr}_U(f\phi) &= s_1\wedge ...\wedge s_r \mapsto \sum_j s_1\wedge ..\wedge f\phi(s_j)\wedge ...\wedge s_r\\ &= \sum_j f(s_1\wedge ..\wedge \phi(s_j)\wedge ...\wedge s_r)\\ &= f\sum_j s_1\wedge ..\wedge \phi(s_j)\wedge ...\wedge s_r\\ &= f\mathrm{tr}_U(\phi).\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.15. *The morphism* tr *is surjective.*

Proof. It suffices to prove surjectivity on the level of stalks. Let $x\in X_k$ be a closed point. Consider the induced morphism

$$\mathrm{tr}_x : \mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_{X_k}(\mathcal{F}_{k,x},\mathcal{F}_{k,x}) \to \mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_{X_k}(\det(\mathcal{F}_{k,x}),\det(\mathcal{F}_{k,x}))$$

and basis $s_1,...,s_r\in\mathcal{F}_{k,x}$. Since the map tr_x is $\mathcal{O}_{X_k,x}$ linear and $\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_{\mathcal{O}_{X_k}}(\det(\mathcal{F}_{k,x}),\det(\mathcal{F}_{k,x}))\cong \mathcal{O}_{X_k,x}$, it suffices to show that $\mathrm{Id}\in\mathrm{Im}(\mathrm{tr}_x)$. Let $\phi\in\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_{X_k}(\mathcal{F}_{k,x},\mathcal{F}_{k,x})$ defined as $\phi(s_i)=s_i$ for $i=1$ and 0 otherwise. This concludes the proof. □

We can define the trace map cohomologically as follows:

Definition 3.16. Let $\mathcal{U}:=\{U_i\}$ be a small enough open affine cover of X_k such that $\mathcal{F}_k$ is free on each U_i. Using [4, III. Theorem 4.5] we define Čech cocycle $\mathcal{C}^p(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}_k,\mathcal{F}_k))$ (resp $\mathcal{C}^p(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{H}om(\det(\mathcal{F}_k),\det(\mathcal{F}_k)))$, such that the corresponding Čech cohomology coincides with the sheaf cohomology $H^i(X_k,\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}_k,\mathcal{F}_k))$ (resp $H^i(X_k,\mathcal{H}om(\det(\mathcal{F}_k),\det(\mathcal{F}_k)))$). The morphism $(*)$ of Definition induces a morphism on cohomologies

$$\mathrm{tr}^i : H^i(X_k,\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}_k,\mathcal{F}_k)) \to H^i(X_k,\mathcal{H}om(\det(\mathcal{F}_k),\det(\mathcal{F}_k))) \cong H^i(X_k,\mathcal{O}_{X_k}).$$

As a corollary to Lemma 3.15 we have:

Corollary 3.17. *The morphism induced on cohomology*

$$\mathrm{tr}^1 : H^1(X_k, \mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_{X_k}(\mathcal{F}_k, \mathcal{F}_k)) \to H^1(X_k, \mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_{X_k}(\det(\mathcal{F}_k), \det(\mathcal{F}_k))$$

is surjective.

Proof. Consider the short exact sequence,

$$0 \to \ker \mathrm{tr} \to \mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_{X_k}(\mathcal{F}_k, \mathcal{F}_k) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{tr}} \mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_{X_k}(\det(\mathcal{F}_k), \det(\mathcal{F}_k)) \to 0.$$

We get the following terms in the associated long exact sequence,

$$... \to H^1(X_k, \mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_{X_k}(\mathcal{F}_k, \mathcal{F}_k)) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{tr}^1} H^1(X_k, \mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_{X_k}(\det(\mathcal{F}_k), \det(\mathcal{F}_k))) \to H^2(\ker \mathrm{tr}) \to ...$$

Since X_k is a curve, by Grothendieck's vanishing theorem, $H^2(\ker(\mathrm{tr})) = 0$. Therefore, the morphism tr^1 is surjective. □

The following proposition tells us that the determinant map 'commutes' with the trace map.

Proposition 3.18. *Notation as in 3.7. Let*

$$\det_{ij} : \Gamma(U_i \cap U_j, \mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(\mathcal{F}'_i, \mathcal{F}'_i)) \to \Gamma(U_i \cap U_j, \mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(\det(\mathcal{F}'_i), \det(\mathcal{F}'_i)))$$

be a morphism defined by

$$\phi_{ij} \in \Gamma(U_i \cap U_j, \mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(\mathcal{F}'_i, \mathcal{F}'_i)) \mapsto \det_{ij}(\phi_{ij}) := (s^i_1 \wedge ... \wedge s^i_r \mapsto \phi_{ij}(s^i_1) \wedge ... \wedge \phi_{ij}(s^i_r))$$

where $s^i_1, ..., s^i_r$ are the basis elements of $\mathcal{F}'_i|_{U_i \cap U_j}$. Then for any pair $i \neq j$, we have

$$\det_{ij} \circ (\mathrm{Id} + (\phi''_{ij} \otimes a) \circ \pi) = \mathrm{Id} + (\mathrm{tr}_{U_{ij}}(\phi''_{ij}) \otimes a) \circ \pi.$$

In other words, the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1(\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_{X_k}(\mathcal{F}_k, \mathcal{F}_k) \otimes_k I) & \xrightarrow{\phi_1} & \mathcal{D}_{[\mathcal{F}_k]}(A') \\ \Big\downarrow \mathrm{tr}^1 \otimes \mathrm{Id} & \circlearrowleft & \Big\downarrow \mathcal{D}et_{A'} \\ H^1(\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_{X_k}(\det(\mathcal{F}_k), \det(\mathcal{F}_k)) \otimes_k I) & \xrightarrow{\phi_2} & \mathcal{D}_{[\det(\mathcal{F}_k)]}(A') \end{array}$$

Proof. Let $s^i_1, ..., s^i_r$ be the sections generating $\mathcal{F}'_i|_{U_i \cap U_j}$.
Any section of $\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(\det(\mathcal{F}'_i), \det(\mathcal{F}'_i))$ is (uniquely) defined by the image of $s^i_1 \wedge ... \wedge s^i_r$. Hence it suffices to prove

$$(\det_{ij} \circ (\mathrm{Id} + (\phi''_{ij} \otimes a) \circ \pi))(s^i_1 \wedge ... \wedge s^i_r) = (\mathrm{Id} + (\mathrm{tr}_{U_{ij}}(\phi''_{ij}) \otimes a) \circ \pi)(s^i_1 \wedge ... \wedge s^i_r).$$

For $1 \leq t \leq r$, $(\mathrm{Id} + (\phi''_{ij} \otimes a) \circ \pi)(s^i_t) = s^i_t + a\phi''_{ij}(\pi(s^i_t))$ and since $I.m_{A'} = 0, a^t = 0$ for $t > 1$. Hence,

$$(\det_{ij} \circ (\mathrm{Id} + (\phi''_{ij} \otimes a) \circ \pi))(s^i_1 \wedge ... \wedge s^i_r) = (s^i_1 + a\phi''_{ij}(\pi(s^i_1))) \wedge ... \wedge (s^i_r + a\phi''_{ij}(\pi(s^i_r))) =$$

$$= s^i_1 \wedge ... \wedge s^i_r + a \sum_k s^i_1 \wedge ... \wedge \phi''_{ij}(\pi(s^i_k)) \wedge ... \wedge s^i_r = (\mathrm{Id} + (\mathrm{tr}_{U_{ij}}(\phi''_{ij}) \otimes a) \circ \pi)(s^i_1 \wedge ... \wedge s^i_r).$$

This completes the proof of the proposition. □

We end this section with the following theorem.

Theorem 3.19. *The functor $\mathcal{D}_{[\mathcal{F}_k],[\det(\mathcal{F}_k)]}$ is unobstructed.*

Proof. Let $A' \twoheadrightarrow A$ be a small extension in Art/R and ϕ_1, ϕ_2 be as in Definition 3.9. Recall the surjective morphisms r_1, r_2 from Remark 3.10. Then we have the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \mathcal{D}_{[\mathcal{F}_k],[\det(\mathcal{F}_k)]}(A') & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \mathcal{D}_{[\mathcal{F}_k],[\det(\mathcal{F}_k)]}(A) \\
 & & \downarrow & \circlearrowleft & \downarrow \\
H^1(\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_{X_k}(\mathcal{F}_k, \mathcal{F}_k) \otimes_k I) & \xrightarrow{\phi_1} & \mathcal{D}_{[\mathcal{F}_k]}(A') & \xrightarrow{r} & \mathcal{D}_{[\mathcal{F}_k]}(A) \\
\downarrow \mathrm{tr}^1 \otimes \mathrm{Id} & \circlearrowleft & \downarrow \mathcal{D}et'_A & \circlearrowleft & \downarrow \mathcal{D}et_A \\
H^1(\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_{X_k}((\mathcal{F}_k), \det(\mathcal{F}_k)) \otimes_k I) & \xrightarrow{\phi_2} & \mathcal{D}_{(\mathcal{F}_k)}(A') & \xrightarrow{r} & \mathcal{D}_{[\det(\mathcal{F}_k)]}(A)
\end{array}$$

where the upper right square and the lower right square are commutative by definition and the lower left square is commutative by Proposition 3.18. To prove that $\mathcal{D}_{[\mathcal{F}_k],[\det(\mathcal{F}_k)]}$ is unobstructed, we need to show that ψ is surjective. Let $\mathcal{L}_A$ be the unique pull-back of $\mathcal{L}_R$ under the morphism $X_A \to X_R$ and $\mathcal{F}_A$ be an element in $\mathcal{D}_{[F_k],[\det(\mathcal{F}_k)]}(A)$. Since $\mathcal{D}_{[\mathcal{F}_k],[\det(\mathcal{F}_k)]}(A') = \det(\mathcal{L}'_{\mathcal{A}})$ where $\mathcal{L}_{A'}$ is $\pi^*\mathcal{L}_R$ for $\pi : X_{A'} \to X_R$, we need to prove there exists a sheaf $\mathcal{F}_{A'}$ on $X_{A'}$ with determinant $\mathcal{L}_{A'}$ which is an extension of $\mathcal{F}_A$.

By definition $r_2(\mathcal{L}_{A'}) = \mathcal{L}_A$. Since ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are injective, $r_1^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_A) = \mathrm{Im}(\phi_1)$ and $r_2^{-1}(\mathcal{L}_A) = \mathrm{Im}(\phi_2)$. Therefore, there exists $t \in H^1(\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_{X_k}(\det(\mathcal{F}_k), \det(\mathcal{F}_k)) \otimes_k I)$ such that $\phi_2(t) = \mathcal{L}_{A'}$. By Corollary 3.17, $\mathrm{tr}^1 \otimes \mathrm{Id}$ is surjective. Hence there exists $t' \in H^1(\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_{X_k}(\mathcal{F}_k, \mathcal{F}_k) \otimes_k I)$ such that $\mathrm{tr}^1 \otimes \mathrm{Id}(t') = t$. Denote by $\mathcal{F}_{A'} := \phi_1(t')$. By commutativity of the lower left square, $\det(\mathcal{F}_{A'}) = \mathcal{L}_{A'}$. This concludes the proof of the theorem. □

4 Main results

In Theorem 3.19, we showed that the deformation functor $\mathcal{D}_{[\mathcal{F}_k],[\det(\mathcal{F}_k)]}$ is unobstructed for any closed point $[\mathcal{F}_k]$ of the moduli space $M^s_{R,\mathcal{L}_R}$. In this section we prove that this functor is in fact prorepresented by the completion of the local ring at the point $[\mathcal{F}_k]$ (see Proposition 4.4). Using this we prove that the moduli space $M^s_{R,\mathcal{L}_R}$ of pure stable sheaves with fixed determinant $\mathcal{L}_R$ over X_R, is smooth over $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$.

Notation 4.1. Keep Notations 1.1 and 3.1. Let $[\mathcal{F}_k]$ be a k-rational point of $M^s_{R,\mathcal{L}_R}$ and denote by $\Lambda'' := \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{M^s_{R,[\mathcal{F}_k]}}$, the completion of the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{M^s_R,[\mathcal{F}_k]}$. Under the determinant morphism $\det : M^s_R \to \mathrm{Pic}_{X_R}$, the line bundle $\det(\mathcal{F}_k)$ is a k-point of $\mathrm{Pic}(X_R)$. Denote by $\Lambda' := \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathrm{Pic}(X_R),[\det(\mathcal{F}_k)]}$ and by $\Lambda := \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{M^s_{R,\mathcal{L}_R},[\mathcal{F}_k]}$.

Definition 4.2. By $\underline{\hat{\mathcal{O}}}_{M^s_R,[\mathcal{F}_k]}$ we denote the covariant functor

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\Lambda'', -) : \mathrm{Art}/R \to \mathrm{Sets}, \quad A \mapsto \mathrm{Hom}_{R-\mathrm{alg}}(\Lambda'', A)$$

We define the functors $\underline{\hat{\mathcal{O}}}_{\mathrm{Pic}(X_R),[\det(\mathcal{F}_k)]}$ and $\underline{\hat{\mathcal{O}}}_{M^s_{R,\mathcal{L}_R},[\mathcal{F}_k]}$ similarly.

Lemma 4.3. *The deformation functor $\mathcal{D}_{[\mathcal{F}_k]}$ (resp. $\mathcal{D}_{[\mathcal{L}_k]}$) are pro-representable by $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{M^s_{R,[\mathcal{F}_k]}}$ (resp. $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathrm{Pic}_{X_R},[\det(\mathcal{F}_k)]}$).*

Proof. Recall from the proof of [2, Theorem 3.1], that for m sufficiently large, $\mathcal{R}^s$ is the open subset of $\mathcal{Q}uot(\mathcal{H}; P)$ where $\mathcal{H} := \mathcal{O}_{X_R}(-m)^{P(m)}$ parametrizing stable quotients. By [9, Lemma 6.3], $\phi : \mathcal{R}^s \to M^s_R$ is an etale $\mathrm{PGL}(V)$-principal bundle . Therefore, $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{R}^s,[\mathcal{F}_k]} \cong \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{M^s_R,[\mathcal{F}_k]}$.

Denote by $Q := \mathcal{Q}uot(\mathcal{H}; P)$ and by $\mathcal{D}_{Q,[\mathcal{F}_k]}$ the deformation functor corresponding to the Quot-scheme at the point $[\mathcal{F}_k]$. Recall that for any local Artin ring A, $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathrm{Spec}(A)) = 0$, hence $\mathcal{D}_{[\mathcal{F}_k]} = \mathcal{D}_{Q,[\mathcal{F}_k]}$. Since the functor Quot is representable, the deformation functor $\mathcal{D}_{Q,[\mathcal{F}_k]}$ is pro-representable by $\underline{\hat{\mathcal{O}}}_{Q,[\mathcal{F}_k]}$ i.e.,

$$\mathcal{D}_{Q,[\mathcal{F}_k]} \cong \underline{\hat{\mathcal{O}}}_{Q,[\mathcal{F}_k]} \cong \underline{\hat{\mathcal{O}}}_{\mathcal{R}^s,[\mathcal{F}_k]},$$

where the second isomorphism follows from the fact that $\mathcal{R}^s$ is an open subset of Q. Therefore, $\mathcal{D}_{[\mathcal{F}_k]}$ is isomorphic to $\underline{\hat{\mathcal{O}}}_{M^s_R,[\mathcal{F}_k]}$.

Using the same argument we can show that $\mathcal{D}_{[\det(\mathcal{F}_k)]} \cong \underline{\hat{\mathcal{O}}}_{\mathrm{Pic}_{X_R},[\det(\mathcal{F}_k)]}$. This proves the lemma. □

Using this lemma we prove the following proposition.

Proposition 4.4. *The deformation functor $\mathcal{D}_{[\mathcal{F}_k],[\det(\mathcal{F}_k)]}$ is pro-represented by the completion of the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{M^s_{R,\mathcal{L}_R},[\mathcal{F}_k]}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.3, $\mathcal{D}_{[\mathcal{F}_k]}$ (respectively $\mathcal{D}_{[\det(\mathcal{F}_k)]}$) is pro-represented by $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{M^s_R,[\mathcal{F}_k]}$ (respectively $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathrm{Pic}(X_R),[\det(\mathcal{F}_k)]}$). We have a natural transformation

$$\underline{\det} : \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{M^s_{R,[\mathcal{F}_k]}} \to \underline{\hat{\mathcal{O}}}_{\mathrm{Pic}(X_R),[\det(\mathcal{F}_k)]}$$

induced by the determinant morphism, $\det : M^s_R \to \mathrm{Pic}(X_R)$ localized at the point $[F_k]$. Let $A \in \mathrm{Art}/R$ and $\mathcal{L}_A$ be the pullback of the line bundle $\mathcal{L}_R$ under the morphism $X_A \to X_R$. Recall the natural transformation $\mathcal{D}et_A$ defined in Definition 3.5. We have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{D}_{[\mathcal{F}_k]}(A) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \underline{\hat{\mathcal{O}}}_{M^s_{R,[\mathcal{F}_k]}}(A) \\ \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathcal{D}et_A} & \circlearrowleft & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \underline{\det}_A} \\ \mathcal{D}_{[\det(\mathcal{F}_k)]}(A) & \xrightarrow[\sigma]{\sim} & \underline{\hat{\mathcal{O}}}_{\mathrm{Pic}(X_R),[\det(\mathcal{F}_k)]}(A) \end{array}$$

Hence the deformation functor $\mathcal{D}_{[\mathcal{F}_k],[\det(\mathcal{F}_k)]}(A) \cong \underline{\det}_A{}^{-1}(\phi_{\mathcal{L}_A})$, where $\phi_{\mathcal{L}_A} := \sigma(\mathcal{L}_A)$. Therefore to prove that $\mathcal{D}_{[\mathcal{F}_k],[\det(\mathcal{F}_k)]}$ is pro-represented by $\underline{\hat{\mathcal{O}}}_{M^s_{R,\mathcal{L}_R},[\mathcal{F}_k]}$, we need to show that for any $A \in \mathrm{Art}/R$,

$$\underline{\det}_A{}^{-1}(\phi_{\mathcal{L}_A}) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_R(\Lambda, A). \tag{1}$$

By Lemma 4.3, $\mathcal{D}_{[\det(\mathcal{F}_k)]}(A) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_R(\Lambda', A)$. Hence for a fixed element $\mathcal{L}_A \in \mathcal{D}_{[\det(\mathcal{F}_k)]}(A)$, the corresponding morphism from $\mathrm{Spec}(A) \to \mathrm{Spec}(\Lambda')$ is unique and this is the morphism $\phi_{\mathcal{L}_A}$. This implies the commutativity of the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Spec}(A) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Spec}(\Lambda'') \\ \Big\downarrow & \circlearrowleft & \Big\downarrow \\ \mathrm{Spec}(R) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Spec}(\Lambda') \end{array}$$

where the morphism $\mathrm{Spec}(R) \to \mathrm{Spec}(\Lambda')$ is the morphism corresponding to the line bundle $\mathcal{L}_R$. Then the bijection in (1) follows from the property of fibre product and the following diagram.



Since A was arbitrary, (1) holds for any $A \in \mathrm{Art}/R$. Hence $\mathcal{D}_{[\mathcal{F}_k],[\det(\mathcal{F}_k]}$ is pro-represented by $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{M^s_{R,\mathcal{L}_R},[\mathcal{F}_k]}$. □

Using this we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 4.5. *The morphism $M^s_{R,\mathcal{L}_R} \to \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ is smooth.*

Proof. Since the scheme $M^s_{R,\mathcal{L}_R}$ is noetherian and smoothness is an open condition, it suffices to check that the morphism $M^s_{R,\mathcal{L}_R} \to \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ is smooth at closed points. Let $[\mathcal{F}_k]$ be a closed point of $M^s_{R,\mathcal{L}_R}$. Since the morphism $M^s_{R,\mathcal{L}_R} \to \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ is of finite type, to prove that it is smooth at the point $[\mathcal{F}_k]$, we need to show that the functor $\underline{\hat{\mathcal{O}}}_{M^s_{R,\mathcal{L}_R},[\mathcal{F}_k]}$ is unobstructed.

By Proposition 4.4, the completion of the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{M^s_{R,\mathcal{L}_R},[\mathcal{F}_k]}$ pro-represents the functor $\mathcal{D}_{[\mathcal{F}_k],[\det(\mathcal{F}_k)]}$, i.e $\underline{\hat{\mathcal{O}}}_{M^s_{R,\mathcal{L}_R},[\mathcal{F}_k]} \simeq \mathcal{D}_{[\mathcal{F}_k],[\det(\mathcal{F}_k]}$. By Theorem 3.19, the deformation functor $\mathcal{D}_{[\mathcal{F}_k],[\det(\mathcal{F}_k)]}$ is unobstructed. Hence the functor $\underline{\hat{\mathcal{O}}}_{M^s_{R,\mathcal{L}_R},[\mathcal{F}_k]}$ is unobstructed. This implies $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{M^s_{R,\mathcal{L}_R},[\mathcal{F}_k]}$ is unobstructed. Hence, the morphism $M^s_{R,\mathcal{L}_R} \to \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ is smooth at the point $[\mathcal{F}_k]$. □

References

[1] V. Artamkin. On deformation of sheaves. *Math USSR Izv*, 32:663–668, 1989.

[2] H. Esnault and A. Langer. On a positive equicharacteristic variant of the p-curvature conjecture. *Documenta Math. J.*, 18:23–50, 2013.

[3] B. Fantechi, L. Göttsche, L. Illusie, S. L. Kleiman, N. Nitsure, and A. Vistoli. *Fundamental algebraic geometry. Grothendieck's FGA explained,Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*, volume 123. Amer. Math. Soc, 2005.

[4] R. Hartshorne. *Algebraic Geometry*, volume 52. Graduate texts in Math, Springer Verlag, 1977.

[5] R. Hartshorne. *Deformation Theory*, volume 257. Graduate texts in Math, Springer Verlag, 2010.

[6] D. Huybrechts and M. Lehn. *The geometry of moduli spaces of sheaves*, volume 31. Aspects of Mathematics, Vieweg, Braunshweig, 1997.

[7] A. Langer. Castenuovo-mumford regularity. *Duke Math. J.*, 124:571–586, 2004.

[8] A. Langer. Semistable sheaves in positive characteristic. *Ann of Math*, 159:251–276, 2004.

[9] M. Maruyama. Moduli of stable sheaves II. *J.Math.Kyoto Univ*, 18:557–614, 1978.